## Case 5:11-cv-03999-LHK Document 157 Filed 02/25/13 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6	JAMES McMANIS (40958) CHRISTINE PEEK (234573) McMANIS FAULKNER A Professional Corporation 50 West San Fernando Street, 10th Floor San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 279-8700 Facsimile: (408) 279-3244 Email: cpeek@mcmanislaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	LOCEDIUME CMITIL et al	Case No. C 11-03999 LHK	
12	JOSEPHINE SMITH, et al.,	Case No. C 11-03999 LHK	
13	Plaintiffs,	CTIDIU ATION AND IDDODOSEDI	
14	vs. CITY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT	
15	Defendants.	COMILATIVI	
16	Detendants.		
17			
18			
19	STIPULATION		
20	THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
21	1. The parties agree that plaintiffs, JOSEPHINE SMITH and A.S., shall file their		
22	Second Amended Complaint upon the Court's execution of the order herewith. Attached as		
23	Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint. Defendants do		
24	not oppose plaintiffs' request to file the attached Second Amended Complaint.		
25	2. The Second Amended Complaint is identical to the First Amended Complaint,		
26	except that it adds plaintiff A.S. to the twelfth cause of action for intentional infliction of		
27	emotional distress. The only purpose of the amendment is to correct the inadvertent omission of		
28	A.S. from the twelfth cause of action. All previous court orders and stipulations concerning the		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT; Case No. C 11-03999 LHK		

## Case 5:11-cv-03999-LHK Document 157 Filed 02/25/13 Page 2 of 3

1	causes of action in the First Amended Complaint shall apply to the Second Amended Complaint,		
2	once filed. In making this stipulation, no party waives the right to appeal any such court order.		
3	3. Defendants' respective Answers to the First Amended Complaint shall be deemed		
4	their Answers to the Second Amended Complaint. No further response need be filed.		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
6			
7	[In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1.i.3, Christine Peek, counsel for plaintiffs attests that		
8	concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories,		
9	which shall serve in lieu of their signatures on this document.]		
10	DATED, Echmony 22, 2012 Manaanic Earli Knied		
11	DATED: February 22, 2013	McMANIS FAULKNER	
12		/s/ Christine Peek	
13		CHRISTINE PEEK Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14		Theorie ys for Francisco	
15	DATED: February 22, 2013	RANKIN, LANDSNESS, LAHDE,	
16		SERVERIAN & STOCK	
17		/s/ Michael C. Serverian	
18		MICHAEL C. SERVERIAN	
19		Attorneys for Defendants,	
20		CITY OF SANTA CLARA, CITY OF SANTA CLARA POLICE DEPARTMENT	
21		DETECTIVE KENNETH HENDERSON, and SERGEANT GREG HILL	
22	D. 1777 F. 1		
23	DATED: February 22, 2013	DAVIS & YOUNG, APLC	
24		/s/ Mark E. Davis	
25		MARK E. DAVIS	
26		ADAM J. DAVIS ERIC BENGTSON	
27		Attorneys for Defendant,	
28		CLAY ROJAS	
	2 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT;		
	1	<i>'</i>	

Case No. C 11-03999 LHK